





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,465	08/29/2001	Michael Gerle	Mo-6422/LeA 34,055	7497
34947	7590 02/26/2003			
	EMICALS CORPOR	EXAMINER		
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			SERGENT, RABON A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	7
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/942,465

Applicant(s)

Gerie et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Rabon Sergent

Art Unit 1711



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM						
	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	date of this communication.					
- If NO	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	nd will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		000				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 12, 2</u>					
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This acti	on is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-15	is/are pending in the application.				
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.				
		e been received in Application No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in this National Stage				
*S	application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm	ent(s)					
1) 🗌 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				



Application/Control Number: 09/942,465

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The significance of the language, "at one and the same time", is unclear; it is unclear why "one and" is necessary. Furthermore, it is unclear how "desired" further limits order. What determines the desirability of the order? The examiner has considered applicants' response; however, it is unclear why the language, in question, is necessary.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).



Application/Control Number: 09/942,465

Art Unit: 1711

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiff et al. ('370 or '737) each in view of WO 99/52961.

The primary references disclose the production of blocked isocyanates and their use with water-proofing and oil-proofing fluorocarbon resins as textile treating compositions, wherein the blocked isocyanates are the reaction product of polyisocyanates, including aromatic isocyanates, active hydrogen compounds containing ionic or potential ionic groups, polyoxyalkylene ethers, and blocking agents.

4. Though the primary references disclose several blocking agents, including imidazoles, the reference is silent with respect to the use of pyrazole blocking agents; however, the position is taken that pyrazoles were known blocking agents for self dispersible isocyanates, to be used as textile finishes with fluorocarbon polymers, at the time of invention. Furthermore, it was known at the time of invention that the use of pyrazole blocking agents within polyisocyanate compositions to be used as textile finishes yields finishes having improved oil- and water-repellent properties and improved fastness properties. This position is supported by the teachings of the secondary reference. See pages 2 and 3. This disclosure, in combination with the fact that the disclosed imidazoles of the primary references and pyrazoles are isomers, is considered to render the use of pyrazoles within the primary references prima facie obvious. Furthermore, the secondary reference specifically discloses that a preferred polyisocyanate is MDI, which is aromatic. Given the teachings of the secondary reference regarding the benefits of using pyrazole blocking agents, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonably expected the use of the

Application/Control Number: 09/942,465

Art Unit: 1711

pyrazoles within the primary references to yield textile finishes having improved repellent and

fastness properties.

The examiner has considered applicants' arguments; however, the arguments fail to

appreciate the teachings of the secondary reference regarding the benefits of using pyrazole

blocking agents within polyisocyanate based textile finishes.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 6.

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

• the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

February 23, 2003

Page 4